

ATTACHMENT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT  
COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT  
OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

	)	
	)	CASE NO.
Plaintiff(s),	)	
	)	JUDGE BRIDGET M. BRENNAN
v.	)	
	)	<b><u>REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING</u></b>
	)	<b><u>MEETING UNDER FED. R. CIV.</u></b>
	)	<b><u>P. 26(f) AND LR 16.3(b)(3)</u></b>
Defendant(s).	)	
	)	

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and LR 16.3(b)(3), a meeting was held on \_\_\_\_\_,  
and was attended by:

\_\_\_\_\_counsel for plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_counsel for plaintiff(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_counsel for defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_counsel for defendant(s) \_\_\_\_\_

2. The parties:

\_\_\_\_\_ have exchanged the pre-discovery disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1)

or

\_\_\_\_\_ have not been required to make initial disclosures.

3. The parties recommend the following track:

\_\_\_\_\_Expedited                      \_\_\_\_\_Standard                      \_\_\_\_\_Complex  
\_\_\_\_\_Administrative                      \_\_\_\_\_Mass Tort

4. This case is suitable for one or more of the following Alternative Dispute Resolution (“ADR”) mechanisms:

\_\_\_\_\_Early Neutral Evaluation                      \_\_\_\_\_Mediation                      \_\_\_\_\_Arbitration  
\_\_\_\_\_Summary Jury Trial                      \_\_\_\_\_Summary Bench Trial  
\_\_\_\_\_Case not suitable for ADR                      \_\_\_\_\_Case not suitable for ADR now, but may be after  
discovery

5. The parties \_\_\_\_\_do/\_\_\_\_\_do not consent to the jurisdiction of the United Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

6. The Parties: **(indicate one):**

\_\_\_\_\_agree that there will be no discovery of electronically-stored information;  
\_\_\_\_\_have agreed to a method for conducting discovery of electronically-stored information;  
or  
\_\_\_\_\_have agreed to follow the default standard for discovery of electronically-stored information (Appendix K to Northern District of Ohio Local Rules).

7. The parties have discussed whether the Court should enter a protective order to facilitate discovery:

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

The parties believe the Court should enter a protective order in this case:

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes

\_\_\_\_\_ No

\_\_\_\_\_ Not at this time, but possibly later

\_\_\_\_\_ The parties disagree

If yes, the parties agree to follow the form protective order found in Appendix L to the Local Rules:

\_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No

If not, please explain what variations to the form protective order found in Appendix L are needed:

8. Recommended Discovery Plan:

(a) Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery.

---

---

---

---

(b) Discovery cut-off date: \_\_\_\_\_

9. Recommended cut-off date for amending the pleadings and/or adding additional parties: \_\_\_\_\_

10. Recommended expert discovery date: \_\_\_\_\_

Expert report(s) by party initially seeking to introduce expert testimony  
recommended due date: \_\_\_\_\_

Responsive expert report(s) due date: \_\_\_\_\_

11. Recommended dispositive motion date: \_\_\_\_\_

12. Recommended date for Status Hearing: \_\_\_\_\_

13. Recommended date for Settlement Conference: \_\_\_\_\_

14. Other matters for the attention of the Court:

\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Plaintiff(s)\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Defendant(s)\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Attorney for Defendant(s)\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_